UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
e er e erkka wamana ee ee soom e me	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
MOHAMMA	D SALAMEH	MEMO ENDORSED	
USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#:	Plaintiff,	19-cv-4002 (LAK) 93-cr-180 (LAK)	
DATE FILED: 1-10'00	Vs.	Motion For Update Status And Seeking	
UNITED ST	TATES OF AMERICA	Clarification For	
Submits of this this hone Order (the Gove	S-20 Mohamma Lhis Motion seeking action and seeking arable Court for Document 19), in	November 19, 200's Court De VE VE JAN 15 2020 PRO SE OFFICE Salameh, respectfully sing an Update Status g a Clarafication from its November 19, 2019's which the Court ordered t) to return Plaintiff's	
an Update from the	ere, Plaintiff Moho Status of this is Court for Now Sovernment to re	emmad Salameh is seeking action, and a Clariffication rember 19, 2019's Order turn Plaintiff's \$2,615.00 the Government's response to	

Plaintiff raises three questions. The answers, to the extent answers are appropriate, are these:

- 1. Plaintiff moved under Fed R Crim P 41(g) for the return of \$1,515 in U.S. currency, \$1,100 in U.S. currency, and 32 dinars taken from him together with interest. The Court ultimately order the return of the property to plaintiff. The property referred to was that taken from plaintiff, to wit, \$2,615 in U.S. currency and 32 dinars.
 - 2. The Court declines to elaborate on the meaning of "promptly."
- 3. Rule 41(g) provides a remedy for the unlawful search and seizure, or deprivation, of property. The remedy is "the property's return." It does not provide for the recovery of interest.

The Clerk shall terminate Dkt 21.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

January 16, 2020

Lewis A. Kaplan

United States District Judge